



## DomainKeys Identified Mail (DKIM): Introduction and Overview

**Eric Allman**  
**Chief Science Officer**  
**Sendmail, Inc.**

- ⋮ Traditional Content Scanning is reaching its limits
- ⋮ Increasing interest in making life better for good players (in addition to penalizing bad players)
  - Messages from good senders can be delivered without spam scanning to reduce load and avoid false positives
  - Messages from known bad senders should be slowed down, carefully scanned, greylisted, challenged, or rejected outright
- ⋮ Good senders want an ability to demonstrate their goodness, either by Accreditation (3<sup>rd</sup> party assurance) or Reputation

- ⋮ For most people, 90–99% of their legitimate email comes from people or entities they know
  - Notable exceptions: help desks, inquiry addresses, “info@” addresses, etc.
  - Allow (white) lists can reduce false positives
  - I’ll accept mail from my mother, my boss, or my bank without scanning
- ⋮ Also, 90–99% of their spam comes from people or entities they do not know
  - Notable exception: on-line order acknowledgments
- ⋮ Critical: must ensure sender is who they claim to be
  - ... not someone pretending to be my bank
  - Phishing usually involves identity theft
  - Authentication required

- ⋮ People often confuse the two
- ⋮ Authentication: proof that you are who you claim to be
  - Real life example: a passport
- ⋮ Authorization: what you are allowed to do, generally based on:
  - Real life example: a visa in a passport
  - Prior knowledge by recipient of who you are
  - Trusted third party accreditation
  - Local- or network-wide reputation
  - “Entry methods” such as Challenge-Response or content scanning

- ⋮ Cryptography-based protocol, signs selected header fields and message body
  - Merge of DomainKeys (Yahoo!) and IIM (Cisco)
  - Merge created by an industry consortium
  - Significant industry support (see [dkim.org](http://dkim.org) for a list)
- ⋮ Intended to allow good senders to prove that they did send a particular message, and to prevent forgers from masquerading as good senders (if those senders sign all outgoing mail)
- ⋮ Not an anti-spam technology by itself

- ⋮ Low-cost (avoid large PKI, new Internet services)
- ⋮ No trusted third parties required (e.g., key servers)
- ⋮ No client User Agent upgrades required
- ⋮ Minimal changes for (naïve) end users
- ⋮ Validate message itself (not just path)
- ⋮ Allow sender delegation (e.g., outsourcing)
- ⋮ Extensible (key service, hash, public key)
- ⋮ Structure usable for per-user signing

- ∴ Signature transmitted in DKIM-Signature header field
  - DKIM-Signature is self-signed
  - Signature includes the signing identity (not inherently tied to envelope, From:, Sender:, or any other header)
- ∴ Initially, public key stored in DNS (new RR type, fall back to TXT) in `_domainkey` subdomain
  - Extensible to other key delivery mechanisms
- ∴ Namespace divided using *selectors*, allowing multiple keys for aging, delegation, etc.
  - Example: selectors for departments, date ranges, or third parties
- ∴ *Sender Signing Policy* lookup for unsigned, improperly signed, or third-party signed mail

Example:

```
DKIM-Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns;  
d=example.com;  
i=user@eng.example.com;  
s=jun2005.eng; c=relaxed/simple;  
t=1117574938; x=1118006938;  
h=from:to:subject:date;  
b=dzdVyOfAKCdLXdJOc9G2q8LoXSlEniSb  
av+yuU4zGeeruD00lszZVoG4ZHRNiYzR
```

DNS query will be made to:

**jun2005.eng.\_domainkey.example.com**



- ⋮ Currently submitted to Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) as Internet-Drafts.
  - `draft-ietf-dkim-base-00.txt`
  - `draft-allman-dkim-ssp-01.txt`
  - `draft-fenton-dkim-threats-02.txt`
- ⋮ Still some other drafts to be written
- ⋮ IETF Working Group chartered, first meeting in March
- ⋮ Several interoperating implementations, some open source
  - <http://sourceforge.net/projects/dkim-milter>

# Eric Allman Sendmail, Inc.